3 3 4 <u>9</u> 7 8	WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO 115 Sansoms Street Fourth Floor San Francisco, Celifornia 94104 (415) 391-3900 Telefax: (415) 954-0938 Leurie J. Bartilson, SBN 139220 MOXON & BARTILSON 6255 Sunsat Boulevard, Suite 2000 Hollywood, CA 90028 (213) 960-1936 Telefax: (213) 953-3351 Attorneys for PlaintM CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY	FILED OCT 17 1995 HOWARD HANSON MARIN COUNTY CLEAK by J. Steele, Deputy
10	INTERNATIONAL	
1:	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1:	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN	
1:		
1	 INTERNATIONAL, a California not-for-profit) religious corporation, 	CASE NO. 80 157680
1	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION
1	₿. ∨\$.	DATE: October 5, 1995 TIME: 9:00 a.m. DEPT: 1
2	GERALD ARMSTRONG; DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	TRIAL DATE: Vacated
2		
2		
2		ctober 6, 1995, on motion of plaintiff
	S Church of Scientology International ("the Ch	
	the Twentleth Cause of Action of the Secon	
	7 Church of Scientology International appeare	
2	a of Wilson, Ryan & Campilongo and Laurie J	, pâltriêdu di Edmiez & Mozan,

.....

.....

12.7.9.

..

OCT 18 '95 16:01 FR

4

11

defendant Armstrong appeared by his attorney, Ford Greene. Having read and
 considered the moving and opposing papers, and the evidence and arguments
 presented therein and at the hearing, and good cause appearing:

.V J. T. T. V.

TO 9141545653185608 P.06

IT IS ORDERED:

The Church's motion for summary adjudication of the twentieth cause of action of the Second Amended Complaint is GRANTED. The Court finds that there Is no-triable issue of material fact as to any of the following:

Plaintiff and defendant freely and voluntarily entered into a Mutual
Release of All Claims and Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") in December,
1986.

Plaintiff performed all of its obligations pursuant to the Agreement.

Defendent Armstrong received substantial consideration for the
 promises which he made in the Agreement.

4. Since 1990, defendant Armstrong has repeatedly breached paragraphs 7(D), 7(E), 7(H), 7(G), 10, 18(D) and 20 of the Agreement.

Between 1991 and the present, Armstrong breached paragraphs 7(G),
 7(H) and 10 of the Agreement by providing voluntary assistance, exclusive of
 testimony made pursuant to a valid subposha, to the following private individuals,
 each of whom was pressing a claim or angaged in litigation with plaintiff and/or
 one or more of the designated beneficiaries of the Agreement:

Vicki and Richard Aznaran, anti-Scientology litigants in the case of
 <u>Vicki Aznaran, et al. v. Church of Scientology International</u>, United States
 District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. CV 88-1786
 (JMI) [Sep.St.Nos. 11-16];

Joseph A. Yanny, anti-Scientology litigant in the case of <u>Religious</u>
 <u>Technology Center et al. v. Joseph Yanny, et al.</u>, Los Angeles Superior
 Court No. C 690211 and <u>Religious Technology Center et al. v. Joseph</u>
 <u>Yanny, et al.</u> Los Angeles Superior Court No. BC 033035 [Sep.St.Nos. 17-

10 8.4.35340536301

TO 9141545653185608 P.07

20];

OCT 18 '95 16:02 FR

1-6-35

Malcolm Nothling, anti-Scientology litigant in the matter between
 Malcolm Nothling and the Church of Scientology in South Africa, Adi Codd,
 Diane Kemp, Glen Rollins; Supreme Court of South Africa (Witwatzbsrand
 Local Division) Case No. 19221/88. [Sep.St.Nos. 21-24];

 Resder's Digest Corporation, anti-Scientology litigant in the case of <u>Church of Scientology of Lausanne vs. Klosk AG</u>, Basel, Switzerland [Sep.St.Nos. 25-26];

Richard Behar, anti-Scientology litigant in the case of <u>Church of</u>
 <u>Scientology International v. Time Warner. Inc.</u>: <u>Time Inc. Magazine Company</u>
 <u>and Richard Behar</u>, United States District Court, Southern District of New
 York, Case No. 92 Civ. 3024 PKL [Sep.St.Nos. 27-28];

Steven Hunziker, anti-Scientology litigant in the case of Hunziker v.
 <u>Applied Materials, Inc.</u>, Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 692629
 [Sep.St.Nos. 29-33];

David Mayo, anti-Scientology litigant in the case of <u>Religious</u>
 <u>Technology Center v. Robin Scott, et al.</u>, United States District Court for the
 Central District of California, Case No. 85-711 [Sep.St.Nos. 34-35];

Cult Awaraness Network, anti-Scientology litigant in the case of <u>Cult</u>
 <u>Awareness Network v. Church of Scientology International. et al.</u>, Circuit
 Court of Cook County, Illinois, No. 94L804 [Sep.St.Nos. 38-39];

 Lawrence Wellersheim, anti-Scientology litigant in the cases of Lawrence Wellersheim v. Church of Scientology of California, Los Angeles Superior Court Number C332027 and <u>Church of Scientology of California v.</u> Lawrence Wellersheim, Los Angeles Superior Court Number BC074815 [Sep.St.Nos. 40-42];

Ronald Lawley, anti-Scientology litigant in the cases of <u>Religious</u>
 <u>Technology Center, et al. vs. Robin Scott, et al.</u>, U.S. District Court, Central

OCT 18 '95 16:03 FR

1

2

3

4

5

-

7

8

91

20

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

18

26

27

28

TO 9141545653185608 P.08 V \$.4.30040500007 - 1000/0.0

District of California, Case No. 85-711 MRP(8x); <u>Matter Between Church of</u> <u>Scientology Advanced Organization Saint Hill Europe and Africa, and Robin</u> <u>Scott. Ron Lawley. Morag Selfmains, Stephen Bisbey</u> in the High Court of Justice Queen's Bench Division, Case 1984 S No. 1675; and <u>Matter</u> <u>Between Church of Scientology Religious Education College Inc., and Nancy</u> <u>Carter. Ron Lewiev, Steven Bisbey</u>, in the High Court of Justice Queen's Bench Division, Case 1986 C No. 12230 [Sep.St.Nos. 43-44];

 Uwe Geertz and Steven Fishman, anti-Scientology litigants in the case of <u>Church of Scientology International v. Steven Fishman, at al.</u>, United States District Court for the Cantral District of California Number 91-6426 HLH(Tx) [Sep.St.Nos. 45-46];

 Tilly Good, a claimant against the Church of Scientology, Mission of Sacramento Valley [Sep.St.Nos. 36-37];

Deniae Cantin, a claimant against the Church of Scientology of Orange
 County; Church of Scientology of Boston; and Church of Scientology, Flag
 Service Organization [Sep.St.Nos. 35-37]; and

 Ed Roberts, a claimant against the Church of Scientology of Stevens Creek [Sep.St.Nos. 36-37].

6. Between 1992 and the present, Armstrong breached paragraph 7(D)
of the Agreement by contacting media representatives, granting interviews and
attempting to assist media representatives in the preparation for publication or
broadcast magazine articles, newspaper articles, books, radio and television
programs, about or concerning the Church and/or other persons and entities
referred to in peragraph 1 of the Agreement. These media representativas
included:

Cable Network News: reporter Don Knapp, in March, 1992
 [Sep.St.Nos. 47-48];

American Lawyer Magezine: reporter Bill Horne, in March, 1992

OCT 18 '95 16:03 FR OCT-17-95 TUE 06:03 PM .10-16-95 11:384M

.V 3.4.59520918300 . 1101 J.V

TO 9141545653185608 P.09

1		
2	[Sep.St.No. 49];	
2	* Los Angeles Times: reporter Bob Welkos, in May, 1992; and reporter	
3	Joal Sappell, in June, 1993 (Sep.St.Nos. 50-51);	
4	* CAN Video Interview, with anti-Scientologists "Spanky" Taylor and	
5	Jarry Whitfield, in November, 1992 [Sep.St.No. 82];	
6	* KFAX Radie: Interview planned but prevented in April, 1993	
7	[Sep.St.No. 53];	
8	· Newsweek Magazine: reporter Charles Fleming, in June, 1993 and	
9	August, 1993 [Sep.St.No. 54-56];	
10	· Daily Journal: reporter Mike Tipping, in June, 1993 [Sep.St.No. 57];	
11	* Time Megezine: reporter Richard Behar, in March, 1992 and in June;	
12	1993 [Sep.St.Nos. 58-59];	
13	San Francisco Recorder: reporter Jennifer Cohen, In August, 1993	
14	[Sep.St.No. 60];	
15	* El Entertainment Network: reporter Grag Agnaw, In August, 1993	
16	[Sep.St.No. 61];	
17	• WORD Radio: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, interviewed in the fall of 1993	
18	[\$ep.St.No. 62];	
:9	• St. Petersburg Times: St. Petersburg, Florida, reporter Wayne Garcla,	
20	in the fall of 1993 (Sep.St.No. 63);	
21	 Premiere Magazine: letter to the aditor, in October, 1993 [Sep.St.No. 	
32	64];	
23	 Mirror-Group Newspapers: United Kingdom, in May, 1994 	
24	[Sep.St.No. 65];	
25	 Gauntlet Magazine: New York, New York, reporter Rick Cusick in 	
26	June, 1934 [Sep.St.No. 66];	
27	Pacific Sun Newspaper: reporter Rick Sine, in June and July, 1994	
28	[Sep.St.No. 67];	
1	5	

TO 9141515653185608 P.10

Disney Cable: reporter Marsha Nix, In August, 1994 [Sep.St.No. 68];
 and

Tom Voltz: Swiss author writing a book about Scientology, in
 October, 1994 [Sep.St.No. 69].

OCT 18 '95 16:04 FR

1

2

3

4

. W

7. Between 1992 and the present, Armstrong breached paragraph 7(D)
of the Agreement by preparing and distributing at least three manuscripts
concerning his claimed experiences in and with Scientology, including a treatment
for a screenplay which he intends to turn into a film [Sep.St.Nos.70-71].

9 8. Batween 1991 and the present, Armstrong further breached 10 paragraph 7(D) of the Agreement by disclosing his claimed experiences in or with 11 Scientology to each of the following persons or groups, not previously identified: 12 Robert Lobsinger [Sep.St.No. 72]; the New York Times [Sep.St.No. 73]; Toby 13 Plavin, Stuart Culter, Anthony Laine, Kent Burtner, and Margaret Singer 14 [Sep.St.No. 74]; Priscilla Coatas [Sep.St.No. 75]; Gmar Garrison [Sep.St.No. 76]; 15 Vaughn and Stacy Young (Sep.St.No, 771: a Stanford University psychology class 16 [Sep.St.No. 78]; attendees at the 1992 Cult Awareness Network Convention 17 [Sep.St.No. 79]; and Hana Whitfleid [Sep.St.No. 80].

18 9. Defendant Armstrong has reiterated numérous times that he intands
19 to continuing breaching the Agreement unless he is ordered by the Court to cease
20 and desist [Sep.St.Nos. \$7-97].

10. Plaintiff's legal remedies are inadequate insofar as the scope of the
 relief ordered below is concerned. <u>Tamarind Lithography Workshop</u>, Inc. v. Sanders
 (1983) 143 Cal.App.3d 571, 577-578, 193 Cal.Rptr. 409, 413.

Accordingly, the Court finds that entry of a permanent injunction in this action is necessary in this action because pecuniary compensation could not afford the Church adequate relief, and the restraint is necessary in order to prevent a multiplicity of actions for breach of contract. Civil Code § 3422(1),(3). A ORDER of injunction is therefore entered as follows: Defendant Gerald Armstrong, his agents, employees, and persons acting in concert or conspiracy with him are restrained and enjoined from doing directly or indirectly any of the following:

.V 3.4.0:0000000000

TO 9141545653185608 P.11

1000/ 1:3

 Voluntarily assisting any person (not a governmental organ or entity) intending to make, intending to press, intending to arbitrate, or intending to litigate a claim, regarding such claim or regarding pressing,
 arbitrating, or litigating it, against any of the following persons or entities:

 The Church of Scientology International, its officers, directors, agants, representatives, employees, volunteers, successors, assigns and legal counsel;

The Church of Scientology of California, its officers, directors, egents,
 representatives, employees, volunteers, successors, assigns and legal
 counsel;

Religious Technology Center, its officers, directors, agents,

representatives, employees, volunteers, successors, assigns and legal counsel;

The Church of Spiritual Technology, its officers, directors, agents,
 representatives, employees, volunteers, successors, assigns and legal
 counsel;

All Scientology and Scientology affiliated Churches, organizations and
 entities, and their officers, directors, agents, representatives,
 employees, volunteers, successors, assigns and legal counsel;

 23
 0
 Author Services, Inc., its officers, directors, agents, representatives.

 24
 amployees, volunteers, successors, assigns and legal counsel;

 25
 0
 The Estate of L. Ron Hubbard, its executor, beneficiaries, heirs,

 26
 representatives, and legal counsel; and/or

Mary Sua Hubbard;

UCT 18 '95 16:05 FR

UT. 8-35 30.5.

4

3

6

7

8

9

20

11

12

13

14

15

16

27

28 (Hereinafter referred to collectively as "the Seneficiaries");

OCT 18 '95 16:05 FR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

TO 9141545653185608 P.12

2. Voluntarily assisting any person (not a governmental organ or entity) defending a claim, intending to defend a claim, intending to defend an arbitration, or intending to defend any claim being pressed, made, arbitrated or litigated by any of the Beneficiaries, regarding such claim or regarding defending, arbitrating, or litigating egainst it:

3. Voluntarily assisting any person (not a governmental organ or entity) arbitrating or litigating advarsaly to any of the Beneficiaries;

4. Facilitating in any manner the creation, publication, broadcast, writing, filming audio recording, video recording, electronic recording or reproduction of any kind of any book, article, film, television program, radio program, treatment, declaration, screenplay of other literary, artistic or documentary work of any kind which discusses, refers to or mentions. Scientology, the Church, and/or any of the Beneficiaries;

Discussing with anyone, not a member of Armstrong's immediate family or his attorney, Scientology, the Church, and/or any of the Beneficiaries;

In addition, it is ORDERED that, within 20 days of the issuance of this Order,
 Armstrong shall:

19 1. Return to the Church any documents which he now has in his 20 possession, custody or control which discuss or concern Scientology, the 21 Church and/or any person or entity referred to in paragraph 1 of the "Mutual 22 Release of All Claims and Settlement Agreement" of December, 1986, other 23 than documents which have been filed in this litigation.

It is further ORDERED that during the pendency of this litigation, documents which have been filed in this litigation may be retained by Armstrong's counsel. These documents are to remain scaled, in the possession of Mr. Greene or any successor counsel, and may not be distributed to third parties. At the conclusion of the instant litigation, it is ORDERED that all documents from this case in

2	counsel's possession which do no	ot comprise counsel's work product will be
\$	delivered to counsel for plaintiff.	Counsel's work product may be retained by
3	Armstrong's counsel.	
4		CART W. TEOMAR
5	DATED:, 1995	THE HONORABLE GARY W. THO
6	OCT 17 1995	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE
7		
8		-
5		
10		
11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12		
13		
14	i	
15		
16		
17		
18	· · · · ·	
19		
20	1	
2:	. –	
2:		
2:		
2	4	
2		
3	6	
2	7	2 martine and a second s
2	8	